

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/802,921	03/18/2004	David J. Lee	05165.1400	5426
*****	7590 02/21/2008 STETLER LLP		05165.1400 5426 EXAMINER OCHOA, JUAN CARLOS ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2123	INER
FOR BOEING	COMPANY		осноа, ли	AN CARLOS
	03/18/2004 00 02/21/2008 ETLER, LLP OMPANY SQUARE, SUITE 1100 ICUT AVENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/21/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		mal	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/802,921	LEE ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JUAN C. OCHOA	2123	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 04 February 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THI		-	
1. The reply was filed after a final-rejection, but prior to ore this application, applicant must timely file one of the foll places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a National a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	on the same day as filing a N owing replies: (1) an amend Notice of Appeal (with appea nce with 37 CFR 1.114. The	lotice of Appeal. To avoid abandonmen ment, affidavit, or other evidence, which fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31;	n ; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing da			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) of TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	e later than SIX MONTHS from or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) W	he mailing date of the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dather that have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office lamay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(NOTICE OF APPEAL	te on which the petition under 3 extension and the corresponding e shortened statutory period for ter than three months after the r	amount of the fee. The appropriate extens reply originally set in the final Office action;	sion fee or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in corfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exa Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be file AMENDMENTS 	tension thereof (37 CFR 41.)	37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	late of Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE be	consideration and/or search		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bappeal; and/or	etter form for appeal by mat		s for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1		inally rejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1		Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-32	24).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	•		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be non-allowable claim(s). 	allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment cancel	ling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is p. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		b) will be entered and an explanation	n of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-73</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			_
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	and sufficient reasons why th	e affidavit or other evidence is necessa	ary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filir entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necess	o overcome <u>all</u> rejections und ary and was not earlier pres	ler appeal and/or appellant fails to provented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	∍ ^r ide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explana REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	tion of the status of the claim	is after entry is below or attached.	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered See Continuation Sheet.	but does NOT place the app	lication in condition for allowance becar	use:

13. Other: ____.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claim 18 now contains "a means for zones being arranged in a hierarchy wherein each zone represents a smaller portion of the vehicle, and there is at least one or more smaller zones inside a larger zone". This changes the scope.

Claim 27 now contains "fully automating the arranging of interior objects while checking clearances and certification requirements for the entire interior whenever a change is made" and "a means for zones being arranged in a hierarchy wherein each zone represents a smaller portion of the vehicle, and there is at least one or more smaller zones inside a larger zone". This changes the scope.

Claim 35 now contains "a means for zones being arranged in a hierarchy wherein each zone represents a smaller portion of the vehicle, and there is at least one or more smaller zones inside a larger zone" and "fully automating the arranging of interior objects while checking clearances and certification requirements for the entire interior whenever a change is made". This changes the scope.

Claim 44 now contains "fully automating the arranging of interior objects while checking clearances and certification requirements for the entire interior whenever a change is made". This changes the scope.

Claim 52 now contains "a means for zones being arranged in a hierarchy wherein each zone represents a smaller portion of the vehicle, and there is at least one or more smaller zones inside a larger zone" and "fully automating the arranging of interior objects while checking clearances and certification requirements for the entire interior whenever a change is made". This changes the scope.

Claim 61 now contains "a means for zones being arranged in a hierarchy wherein each zone represents a smaller portion of the vehicle, and there is at least one or more smaller zones inside a larger zone" and "fully automating the arranging of interior objects while checking clearances and certification requirements for the entire interior whenever a change is made". This changes the scope.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding the rejections under 101, the amendment appears to correct all deficiencies..

ZOILA CABRERA
PRIMARY EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100